

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE
at CHATTANOOGA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
Plaintiff,) Case No. 1:13-cr-128
v.)
)
JERRY WAYNE ALEXANDER, JR.,) Judge Mattice
)
Defendant.) Magistrate Judge Lee
)

ORDER

On June 20, 2014, United States Magistrate Judge Susan K. Lee filed a Report and Recommendation (Doc. 150) pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Magistrate Judge Lee recommended that Defendant's motion to suppress evidence obtained pursuant to the Warrant (Doc. 127) be denied and that Defendant's motion to suppress his statements to law enforcement officers on the date of his arrest (Doc. 125) be denied as moot. (*Id.* at 1).

Defendant has filed no objections to the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation.¹ Nevertheless, the Court has reviewed the record in this matter, and it agrees with the Magistrate Judge's well-reasoned conclusions.

Accordingly, the Court **ACCEPTS** and **ADOPTS** Magistrate Judge Lee's findings of fact and conclusions of law. Defendant's motion to suppress evidence obtained pursuant to the Warrant (Doc. 127) is hereby **DENIED**, and Defendant's motion to

¹ Magistrate Judge Lee specifically advised Defendant that he had 14 days in which to object to the Report and Recommendation and that failure to do so would waive his right to appeal. (Doc. 150); *see Fed. R. Civ. P.* 72(b)(2); *see also Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 148-51 (1985) (noting that “[i]t does not appear that Congress intended to require district court review of a magistrate's factual or legal conclusions, under a de novo or any other standard, when neither party objects to those findings”).

suppress his statements to law enforcement officers on the date of his arrest (Doc. 125) is hereby **DENIED AS MOOT**.

SO ORDERED this 18th day of July, 2014.

/s/ Harry S. Mattice, Jr.
HARRY S. MATTICE, JR.
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE